



Why Twitter's rebrand to X feels 'shocking' to users

bbc.com/worklife/article/20230731-why-twitters-rebrand-to-x-feels-shocking-to-users

Aman Kidwai and Leah Carroll

So long Twitter, hello X. The change doesn't sit right with many of its devoted users, and there may be reasons why.

Many users logging onto Twitter on 23 July found a black X in the corner of their browser tab, in place of the little blue bird they were used to seeing. Elon Musk, tech **entrepreneur** and CTO of the social media platform, surprised nearly everyone by announcing plans to rebrand Twitter, which he'd purchased for a highly **leveraged** \$44 billion in October 2022. The company is now called X.

創業者

After announcing that the communication platform will **pivot** to include a variety of upcoming services – payments, gaming and more – Musk also said eventually “all the birds” and the Twitter branding would be retired. A day after the announcement, the Twitter sign came down at the company's headquarters in San Francisco (he has since installed a large X-shaped sign atop the building).

The move drew mockery, **scrutiny** and confusion – even **ire** – from many Twitter users and technology industry experts. Tech Reporter Casey Newton described Musk's approach as owner of Twitter as “an extended act of cultural **vandalism**”.

“I think there was a true **affinity** for Twitter and the brand by their power users,” says California-based Orlando Baeza, chief revenue officer at Flock Freight, and a former marketing executive and branding leader at BuzzFeed, Paramount, Activision, Adidas and Nike. “This is a dramatic and unexpected turn. Their brand identity went from feeling warm and welcoming to dark and members-only. And to top it off, this all happened overnight. Literally.”

Twitter isn't alone in changing the name and iconography of its highly recognisable, even meaningful, brand. Musk's move parallels recent makeover efforts, like Facebook to Meta, or HBO to Max. But, say brand experts, some brand pivots are more successful than others – and there are reasons why.

'People don't love change'

Companies rebrand – it's how they innovate and adapt.

The main purposes for a makeover, says Maggie Sause, director of go-to-market strategies at New York-based branding agency Red Antler, are generally to improve a company's recognition and reputation, and to signal a shift in their focus and investments.



Shortly following the X rebrand, Twitter's sign at the company's San Francisco headquarters came down (Credit: Alamy)

Yet the change is almost always **shocking** **jarring** for some consumers. Sause says people often feel emotionally invested in brands, especially with products they use in their daily lives. "It's almost like we're saying, 'How dare you make this decision without **consulting** me?' It can feel almost like an act of betrayal." 諮詢

Zach Dioneda, VP of brand marketing at fintech company Public.com, agrees that people can take rebrands personally. "There'll be people that feel as if it is an **affront** to them as a loyal user," he says. "People don't love change." Action that causes outrage or offence

Yet experts say there are ways to make brand transformations more **palatable**, with end users front and centre. Among these best practices are pivots that consider the habits, desires and values of core users and customers, and are often data-driven and researched. Acceptable

One example is Massachusetts, US-based Dunkin' Brands Group, Inc., who in 2018 announced they were changing the name of their flagship Dunkin' Donuts brand, founded in 1950. They'd be dropping the word Donuts from both their **moniker** and iconography, and would **henceforth** be just Dunkin', to represent a wider **swath** of food and beverage offerings. Name
Area

Just like with other corporate transformations, the name and logo change didn't sit perfectly with all consumers at first. However, Sause says she sees the Dunkin' rebrand as largely successful because it relied on data and user-response studies – especially because the brand had so much consumer **nostalgia** and affinity due to its **longevity**. The makeover was "borne of deep audience and market research in order to expand to new audiences without isolating or **detering** their current one", she says. 懷舊
長壽

嚇住

It's almost like we're saying, 'How dare you make this decision without consulting me?' It can feel almost like an act of betrayal – Maggie Sause

This, she adds, is one of the reasons Twitter's rebrand to X hasn't had the same success, instead leaving core, devoted users feeling left out. Without consulting them, she believes it "feels like a black hole promise, full of things Musk *might* do", with no evidence that there's a clear plan to **implement** any of the new functions he's floated to consumers. This, she thinks, is largely **alienating** to their devoted userbase.

Red Antler's executive creative director Michael Ciancio also says it's important rebrands reflect consumer interests and values – things they want to associate themselves with. He believes some users found the transition to X "a pride moment [for Musk], in which a new person **at the helm**, who has a history of making grand gestures in the service of his own reputation", took over. And this made the pivot a "shock".

He adds, "It's a complete rejection of [the brand's] **ethos** and tradition."

Despite the **backlash**, Musk has made no indications he'll **reverse** the decision – for now, he's doubled down on the rebrand, removing the rest of the Twitter name and blue birds from the site, which remained on 23 July and during the week following.

There is **precedent**, however, for brands who've made transformations to U-turn based on negative user feedback.

For instance, American retail chain JCPenney's rebrand to JCP was largely rejected by consumers who'd been loyal to the department store for years, which caused executives to revert the name in 2013; global weight-maintenance company WeightWatchers made the same decision in 2018, after consumers pushed back on their mission pivot and subsequent rebranding to WW.



Some experts see the 2018 rebrand of Dunkin' Donuts to Dunkin' as an example of a successful transition (Credit: Alamy)

Overall, Sause says it's hard to build the brand ^{威望} cachet Twitter has – it's even become a verb in common parlance. Despite the company's rough patch, "its brand is still a powerful asset", but she believes they've weakened it with the name change.

專門用語

很多困難

'The opposition to change tends to dissipate'

For all the emotional responses to the sudden changes, however, some experts say Twitter's rocky transition to X may not be a long-term issue – at least from a corporate standpoint.

消失 "Most backlashes are just temporary, and over time, the opposition to change tends to **dissipate**," says Kuram Zaman, founder and CEO of Fifth Tribe, a digital strategy and branding agency based in Washington, DC. "We saw this with Airbnb, whose logo redesign users mocked, or with Kia, whose logo caused redesign confusion. There's a lot of discussion in the beginning of such changes – which might not necessarily be a bad thing – but customers eventually move on. The upside is that brand changes may be necessary, and the negative responses will subside over time."

Baeza agrees. "I don't have any evidence of consumers' buying behaviours changing to the negative just because of a name change," he says. "So, maybe this all becomes ^{損害} earned media, with no real detriment, to kick off the reinvention of the brand formerly known as Twitter. Maybe this will be just the reinvention that it needs to get past the growth ^{沒有增長} stagnation of recent years."

Indeed, while rival tech company Meta's rebrand drew initial criticism, the company's revenue hit Wall Street targets in the second quarter of 2023, and Meta is forecasting more growth.

Coordinated

Sause and Ciancio both agree there may be a **concerted** strategy behind Musk's move, pointing to the timing of the announcement. It came soon after Meta launched its new social platform, Threads, which at the time dominated the media cycle as the 'Twitter killer'.

"Maybe it's part of the media strategy and he might change the logo back again tomorrow," says Ciancio, "but even if there's no plan, he is definitely shifting the conversation."